



<p><b>Meeting:</b> Academic and Research Libraries Section Minutes of Standing Committee 1</p>	<p><b>Time:</b> 0830, August 9, 2008 Québec City</p>
<p><b>1. ATTENDANCE</b></p>	
<p><b>Present:</b> Agneta Olsson Andrew McDonald Gillian McCombs Jarmo Saarti Jim Neal Kari Garnes Kathleen Salomon Klaus Ceynowa Marie-Dominique Heusse Miguel Duarte Barrionuevo Stephen Marvin Sue McKnight Tina Buchtrup Pipa, representing Michael Cotta-Schonberg Werner Stephan</p>	<p><b>ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>2. APOLOGIES and ABSENT:</b></p>	
<p>Arnold Verhagen Babakisi T. Fidzani Buhle Mbambo J.K. Vijayakumar Mare-Nelli Ilus Melita Ambrozic Michael Cotta-Schonberg Rossana Morriello Zhan Changzhi</p>	
<p><b>3. ATTENDANCE OF OBSERVERS</b></p>	
<p>Toby Bainton Hanae Lrhoul Traore Minata Tooe Pemmer Saetil, Amadou Anta Samb Helen Adey Frederic Blin Barbara Ford Roswitha Poll Timothy Mark</p>	
<p><b>Meeting Called to order</b> at 08:30 am on August 9, 2008</p>	
<p><b>4. ADOPTION OF AGENDA</b></p>	
<p><b>4.1</b></p>	<p>The agenda was approved</p>
<p><b>5. MINUTES OF STANDING COMMITTEE HELD IN DURBAN 2007</b></p>	

5.1	Correction to the Durban Minutes – Agneta Olsson was attending and is a member of the Section not an observer.	
<b>6. REPORTS FROM THE EXECUTIVE TEAM</b>		
6.1	<p><b>Strategic Plan</b></p> <p>It was noted that the new Strategic Plan for the Section was developed.</p> <p>Progress on the 2008-2009 Section Strategic Plan was reported as follows:</p> <p><b>Goal 1.</b> Monitor and provide information about current and emerging issues in academic and research libraries and disseminate results of relevant research.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <p>1.1 Develop and conduct high class, relevant programmes for members at the annual world congresses</p> <p>1.1.1 Quebec City – programme agreed</p> <p>1.1.1.1 Hot Topics in Academic &amp; Research Libraries – Discussions with Experts</p> <p>1.1.1.2 Return on Investment: learners’ outcomes in information literacy. Do they learn at all? with Information Literacy Section</p> <p>1.1.1.3 Public-Private Partnerships, with Management &amp; Marketing Section</p> <p>1.1.1.4 Satellite programme on Consortia (at Universite Laval)</p> <p>1.1.2 Milan – draft programme under discussion Pre Conference on buildings and spaces with Library Buildings Section, Public Libraries Section and others)</p> <p>1.2 Support IFLA Special Interest Groups/Discussion Groups</p> <p>1.2.1 Offered to sponsor a new special interest group on Digital Libraries (Durban 2007) – no feedback so not progressing</p> <p>1.3 Translate conference papers into IFLA languages to promote a wider dissemination – ongoing (some French translations on web site) – more work required</p> <p>1.4 Use the Section’s newsletter, email list, Web 2.0 technologies and web site to encourage discussion and dissemination of relevant information, and for the promotion of Section activities at the world congress – ongoing, with particular emphasis on the newsletter and Web 2.0 technologies in 2007-2008</p> <p>1.5 Use the expertise of the Section’s Standing Committee members, and their extended professional network, to monitor the global professional environment so as to ensure that emerging issues are rapidly brought to the attention of members – ongoing, and related to 1.3</p> <p>Each participant attending introduced themselves, their current affiliation and briefly highlighted their current projects.</p> <p>Sue McKnight: is chairing the JISC eBooks Working Group and is Vice Chair of the JISC National eBooks Observatory Project; member of the Pearson Education Strategic Advisory Board</p> <p>Stephen Marvin: is on Sabbatical during the fall term and will be working on projects relating to social networking software.</p>	

Werner Stephan: Working on joint JISC & German Libraries Research foundation project looking at eResources statistics.

Andrew McDonald: on a HEFCE group looking at space management; JISC project on Institutional repositories and East London Theatre Archive.

Toby Bainton (SCONUL); recent project looking at Library Management systems prompted by concern that there are only 4 system suppliers in the UK of which 2 have an uncertain future. Project looking at open source models in USA.

Kathleen Solomon from Los Angeles Research Institute; Institute is now 10 years old and is reviewing how co-operation between local and statewide research libraries consortium is working and trying to extend eResources access to other local research libraries.

Agneta Olsson: working with Danish Government looking at University ranking lists, bibliometrics and open access initiatives.

Kari Garnes: now Library Director; also working on open access initiatives especially with scientific community on who pays – library or institution. Investigating ways of improving access to primary scientific data and special co-operation projects between University libraries in Bergen. Have also developed an Online Information literacy programme called "Search and Write" which has been translated into English and is freely available.

Frederic Blin: French Higher Education ministry aiming to improve internal ranking of French Universities, looking at relationship between State and Universities; HE research is a priority of the new government. They are also looking at an Observatory type project on eBooks.

Gillian McCombs; University has been chosen as impending site for the creation of the George Bush Memorial Library but is also trying to raise funds for University Library.

Timothy Mark; previously of CARL, retired in April. There will be a national human resources summit to be held in Ottawa looking especially at succession planning but also issues around Collection Management and curation of primary scientific data.

Jim Neal Columbia University: serving on the board of ARL trying to influence the US government in many areas. Chair of ALA budget committee – looking at new fund raising initiatives, business development and entrepreneurial activities. Still very active in Copyright issues looking especially at orphan works and open access to federally funded research. Carrying out mass digitisation of Manuscripts and archival collection projects with Google.

Barbara Ford, Mortenson Centre: Working on professional development initiatives for librarians around the world but particularly in 11 libraries in Sub Saharan Africa. Also studying the return on the investment in electronic resources.

Klaus Ceynova, Bavarian State Libraries: - Within the state of Bavaria has a Co-ordinating role working with University Libraries and Regional consortia managing 52,000 periodicals. Many Universities are wanting to reduce the space taken up by shelving and replace with user spaces. Also working with Google on Digitisation projects. There has been some reaction against these initiatives and questions raised about the role of research libraries if collections have been reduced and digitized. Studies are looking at both outputs and outcomes, new media devices and their role in information

	<p>provision as there is a recognized need to be more proactive.</p> <p>Marie-Dominique Heusse: working on copyright issues and negotiations with Publishers; started to implement the French version of LibQual. Change in political regime in France have led to new laws relating to Universities; and increased lobbying role and a published manifesto of 10 proposals for reforming French Documentary policies for HE and research libraries.</p> <p>Chair thanked all the above for their contributions which will be documented in the Sections Strategic plan.</p> <p>1.6 Foster collaboration with national and parliamentary libraries represented in Division 1 and with special interest Sections across IFLA to provide depth and expertise to programmes offered at the annual world congress – Two joint programmes requested for Quebec City</p> <p>2. Research and disseminate information on relevant standards and guidelines (national and international) and best practice with a view to making the information more accessible to the profession and to build skills.</p> <p><b>Actions:</b></p> <p>2.1 Collect information on academic and research library standards from national bodies and make this information available from the Section's web site – not yet started</p> <p>SC members asked for suggested content and suggestions for other web based standard to which links could be provided</p> <p>2.2 Develop programmes to promote marketing and promotion skills amongst members –</p> <p>2.2.1 Include promotion and marketing strategies amongst 'hot topics' for Quebec City congress</p> <p>2.3 Respond in a timely manner to inquirers who contact the Section for information - ongoing</p> <p>Received 2000 Euros to develop guidelines for partnership development programs. The initial request was for a three year project which was lessened to one year and expanded to cover all types of libraries, not just academic and research libraries. Request to submit continuation grant for this project for next year to include Consortia was approved.</p> <p>3. Allocate responsibility for liaison to a Section Standing Committee member with the following IFLA professional groups, so as to promote dissemination of information:</p> <p>3.1 Copyright and Legal Matters (CLM) – Jim Neal</p> <p>4. Facilitate the involvement of librarians in developing countries in the world congress programme by librarians in developing countries.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <p>4.1 Conducted an essay competition to choose two librarians from Latin America and two librarians from Africa for sponsorship (conference fee paid) to attend the Quebec City conference</p>	All
--	--	-----

	<p>Thanks to Stephen Marvin who organised the call for essays and the blind evaluation of the submissions received.</p> <p>For 2009, the Pacific region is to be added to Latin America and Africa.</p> <p>5. Support open access to information literature so as to bridge the gap between the 'information haves' and the 'information have nots' – through individual lobbying and the conference programme.</p> <p>6. Promote membership of the Section by expanding services to the membership who are unable to attend the annual world congress.</p> <p>6.1 Facilitate the twinning/partnering of libraries in developed countries with one in a developing country to foster exchange of information, sharing of best practice, and provision of staff development - Project underway</p> <p>6.2 Use Web 2.0 technologies to endeavour to engage more discussion amongst members throughout the year – work has commenced more still required</p> <p>6.3 Promote membership and engagement of young and new professionals</p> <p>6.3.1 Investigate the potential 'virtual mentoring' of 'Young Professionals' who participate in that IFLA Discussion Group. Guidelines for partnerships, from the project in 6.1, should also inform individual mentoring.</p> <p>6.3.2 Invite participants in the New Professionals Group to observe Standing Committee meetings and to raise issues of relevance to the group – invitation extended for this meeting</p> <p>7. Grow the Section's membership</p> <p>7.1 Identify which countries are under-represented in membership – in 2008</p> <p>Barbara Ford suggested that IFLA Membership office may already have this as a project. Sue McKnight will contact the IFLA office to see if they may have already analyzed this information.</p> <p>7.2 Identify membership of national associations of academic and research libraries that can be cross-checked against existing membership and target non-members – 2008 - 2009</p> <p>7.3 Standing Committee members to receive promotional information for distribution to potential members – on going</p> <p>7.4 Standing Committee members to post items of interest to potential members on other discussion lists and relevant distribution channels – 2008 and ongoing.</p> <p>Overall, good progress is being made on the Strategic Plan</p>	<p>Stephen Marvin</p> <p>Stephen Marvin</p> <p>Sue McKnight</p> <p>ALL</p>
<p><b>6.2</b></p>	<p><b>FINANCIAL REPORT</b></p> <p>Financial Report – spending is not currently reflecting actual amount as registration fees for essay contest winners not reflected in account. IFLA HQ to be advised.</p> <p>The Proposal from Division 1, to place any surplus funds into the IFLA foundation funds to promote and foster development work, was discussed. Professional Committee has yet to decide and are asking for SCs to advise. Some concern expressed by SC member regarding what would happen if work on projects extends beyond the financial year in which the money is</p>	<p>Sue Mcknight</p>

	<p>available.</p> <p>Recommendation is to request project funding be allowed to continue beyond fiscal period. At present the funding would be lost.</p> <p>Recommendation to add caveat of funding multiyear projects to be held until the project is completed.</p> <p>Recommendation added to review the process within a few years for impact on Sections.</p> <p>Chair to advise Div. 1 chair.</p>	Sue Mcknight
<b>6.3</b>	<p><b>ESSAY CONTEST WINNERS</b></p> <p>The four selected essays were blind peer reviewed. For the second time, one of the contest winners was unable to attend the conference. IFLA will assist in attempting to find additional funds to support winners like this for attending if they are alerted in advance with sufficient time. They were able to provide transportation and housing for one essay contest winner from Africa.</p> <p>The Chair remarked how pleased an essay contest winner from Jamaica was to be able to participate in IFLA. Pauline Nicholson, a prior winner from Jamaica was very pleased to attend this year's IFLA conference. The essay contest helped with her promotion and she is currently involved in developing a consortia in Jamaica.</p> <p>Due to increasing costs of conference registration, it was suggested and approved to have three paid registrations as an award for the best essay coming from Oceania region, Africa, and Latin America</p>	Sue McKnight / Stephen Marvin
<b>6.4</b>	<p><b>PROJECT REPORT</b></p> <p>Focusing on Libraries &amp; Consortia developing guidelines for partnership programs in development. Recommendation – SM to discuss with eIFL and apply for continuation with grant by October 15. [Note: Met with Rima of eIFL and she is strongly supportive of this proposal. Suggestion was also made that eIFL apply for a grant and have ARL serve as a liaison].</p>	Stephen Marvin
<b>6.5</b>	<p><b>NEWSLETTER</b></p> <p>The Section Newsletter has now been reformatted. Section Committee members were thanked for their contributions – all comments and suggestion on how to improve the newsletter should be sent to Steven Marvin and will be gratefully accepted.</p>	ALL
<b>6.6</b>	<p><b>DISCUSSION EMAIL LIST</b></p> <p>The email list needs more activity. Traffic is very low during the year except when close to the conference and people need details about the programs, presentations, registration, location or other related questions. Chair encouraged all SC members to participate and get involved in the discussion list.</p>	ALL
<b>6.7</b>	<p><b>SUPPORT FOR COLLEAGUES</b></p> <p>emails sent to SC members for information, advice and guidance have been swiftly and helpfully answered. SC members WERE thanked for their timely responses.</p>	
<b>7. OVERVIEW OF GOVERNING BOARD AND PROFESSIONAL COMMITTEE</b>		
<b>7.1</b>	3,200 paid registrations anticipating 4,000 total attendees. Several had	ALL

	<p>problems with Visa requirements (20 were refused or delayed).The Arabic translator was refused a visa. There may be similar problems for the 2010 Brisbane congress due to similarities in the way visas are approved.</p> <p>Suggestion is to emphasize the need to register early to resolve this. Interventions can be put into place but only with sufficient advanced notification. The visas must be signed with a pen, not email nor fax.</p> <p>Hotel and tours were also a problem this year despite registration in advance.</p> <p>Suggestion is to wear your badges in conference centre but not on the streets.</p> <p>There are printing stations if anyone needs something printed.</p> <p>Obtain your voting papers early.</p> <p>Nominations will be submitted for best paper.</p> <p>There are other administrative activities to participate such as the Question and Answer period, Newcomers meeting, Officer’s briefing, presentation of IFLA publications.</p> <p>Delegates are advised to obtain head sets to have the presentations translated for those who need this.</p> <p>Finally, there was a very apparent drop in the number of attendees from the U.S. to this year’s conference.</p> <p>Professional restructuring</p> <p>Elections will take place in 2009. Current Chair and Secretary will be leaving their current positions.</p> <p>Division structures are also changing. There will be Five Divisions; ARL will be included with Division One – Library Types.</p> <p>The alignment is intended to link some of the other Core activities with Divisions. The new Divisions are:  Division 1: Library Types  Division 2: Library Collections  Division 3: Library Services  Division 4: Support for Profession  Division 5: Regions</p> <p>Barbara Ford, member of the Governing Board, presented additional information regarding an advocacy office. New website is coming soon and is trying to focus on content rather than bureaucracy – staff output for members, push out work being done by IFLA staff giving more content of use to members.</p>	
<b>8. ORGANISATION OF EVALUATION OF SC ACTIVITIES FOR QUÉBEC CITY</b>		
<b>8.1</b>	Pre-Conference conference: Consortia and Collaborative arrangements topic was very successful but only 25 people attended. Developing countries could not afford extra days. A summary of the program will be written.	
<b>8.2</b>	Hot Topic Session - 22 people to lead the sessions – request for additional volunteers for French, Spanish, and other topics and avoid duplications.	

<b>8.3</b>	There will be a President Elect brainstorming session on Tuesday which SC members are encouraged to attend.	
<b>8.4</b>	Public and Private Partnerships, with the Management & Marketing Session	
<b>8.5</b>	Return on Investment: learners' outcomes in information literacy. Do they really learn?, with the Information Literacy Section	
<b>9. Plans for 2009 Congress in Milan</b>		
<b>9.1</b>	Satellite meeting programme for Milan – Library as Places and Spaces – 2 day in Turin on 18-20 <sup>th</sup> of August Andrew MacDonald and Werner Stephan will form part of the planning group. Sue will attend the planning meeting during this conference.	Sue McKnight
<b>10 ORGANIZATION AND WORKING ROUTINES OF SC MEMBERS</b>		
	There was no discussion specifically on this item, as responsibilities were discussed in relation to the Strategic Plan.	
<b>ADJOURNMENT</b>		
	The meeting was adjourned at 10.50am	

|



<b>Meeting: Academic and Research Libraries Section</b>		<b>Time: 2.00pm, August 15<sup>th</sup> 2008, Québec City</b>
<b>Minutes of Standing Committee II</b>		
<b>1. ATTENDANCE</b>		
<b>Present:</b> <u>Agneta Olsson</u> <u>Andrew McDonald</u> <u>Jarmo Saarti</u> <u>Jim Neal</u> <u>Kari Garnes</u> <u>Kathleen Salomon</u> <u>Klaus Ceynowa</u> <u>Stephen Marvin</u> <u>Sue McKnight</u> <u>Werner Stephan</u>		<b>ACTION</b>
<b>2. APOLOGIES AND ABSENT</b>		
<u>Arnold Verhagen, Babakisi T. Fidzani, Barbara Ford, Buhle Mbambo, Gillian McCombs, J.K. Vijayakumar, Mare-Nelli Ilus, Marie-Dominique Heusse, Miguel Duarte Barrionuevo, Melita Ambrozic, Michael Cotta-Schonberg, Rossana Morriello, Timothy Mark, Tina Buchtrup Pipa, Zhan Changzhi</u>		
<b>3. ATTENDANCE OF OBSERVERS</b>		
<u>Roswitha Poll, Toby Bainton, Hanae Lrhoul, Amadou Anta Samb, Patrick Danowski, Minata Traore Burkina Faso, Trine Kolderup Flaten, Margaret Tarpley, Helen Adey, Frederic Blin</u>		
<b>Meeting Called to order</b> at 2.00pm on August 15, 2008, and the agenda agreed at the SCI meeting was resumed. Observers were welcomed.		
<b>11. PLANNING FOR 2009 CONGRESS IN MILAN</b>		
<b>11.1</b>	<p><u>Proposal 1: Hot Topics open session with facilitated discussion leaders making c. 6 short presentations on topics of importance to the ARL section. Delegates on cabaret type tables would then continue to discuss topics with a SC member on each table. Some concerns that such a model would be disjointed with flow of conversation being interrupted for next presentation. Advantages of the session held in Quebec seen as being the greater amount of time available for International perspective was enjoyed and the participation element was welcomed. Note – more thought needs to be given on how to end such open discussion sessions as they tend to have no formal end and people are unsure what to do.</u></p> <p><u>Sue and Jarmo will work together on guidelines for facilitation and refinement. Language was a concern and reflecting this concern, suggestion was to have roving language speakers or translating services [Italian] and a larger room to block or reduce the distractions from noise.</u></p> <p><u>Proposal 2: Collaboration with CLM – CLM may be interested in co-sponsoring a session on copyright issues relating to mass digitisation.</u></p>	<p><u>Sue McKnight and Jarmo Saarti</u></p>

	<p><u>Assumption is that ARL would take the lead on this collaboration. Suggestions for the focus included digitization in and out of current copyright; AV &amp; Copyright issues; government documents with in copyright material embedded within it.</u></p> <p><u>Suggestion for title to the program – Copyright and Digitization issues. Jim Neal to lead planning of the session with help from other volunteers from the section</u></p> <p><u>Proposal 3: Collaboration with Management and Marketing – seeks to share a program with a vision of libraries in future along the lines of “Where do we stand – where do we want to stand in 10 years”. Klaus and Werner will assist in inviting speakers who can include a political vision on where ARL libraries need to be in 10 years time as well as policy information and not so much the technology. Session should be invited speakers rather than usual call for papers. Discussion agreed to head more for a speaker who can engage the audience with thinking and discussion. Klaus and Werner will determine the balance between emphasis on librarians vs. external views of academic &amp; research libraries; environmental scans; scenario planning, etc.</u></p> <p><u>Proposal 4: Management of Primary Research Data – Agneta willing to take the lead since she has experience of issues relating to making data available for research. Comments provided information about other projects with primary data sources and possibility of combining with IT Section for this topic.</u></p> <p><u>Committee discussed strength of the proposals and agreed that it would be sensible to plan to do 2-3 really strong session that to stretch to do 4. Some issues in the proposed topics could be touched on in Proposal 1 Hot presentations</u></p> <p><u>Suggestion was to combine with Management and Marketing and do the Hot Topic session. Proposal 4 for session on access to primary research data may be better suited for the Brisbane conference due to the developing Dataset project and provide real substance to the discussion.</u></p> <p><u>Noted that the Statistics Section is proposing a discussion group / Special Interest Group on ‘e-metrics’; statistics for everything in electronic form, and is looking for support from other SC and their members. ARL gave backing for such a group as such data &amp; standards would be of increasing importance for members of the section.</u></p>	<p><u>Jim Neal</u></p> <p><u>Klaus Ceynowa and Werner Stephan</u></p>
<b>11.2</b>	<p><u>Satellite meeting in Turin – Library as Places and Spaces – multiple sections, 20, 34, the Turin Public Library, Italian Library Association, University of Torino and others support this two day event planned for Aug 19 – 21 ending with a lunch. Werner, Andrew and Sue are working with the group. There will be a cultural event on Thursday evening.</u></p>	<p><u>Andrew McDonald, Werner Stephan, Sue McKnight</u></p>
<b>12. EVALUATION OF THE QUÉBEC MEETING</b>		
<b>12.1</b>	<p><b><u>Consortia Satellite meeting at the University of Laval – 25 attendees</u></b>  <u>Evaluation:</u>  <u>Academic – 12 / other – 3</u>  <u>Excellent – 12 / good – 2</u>  <u>Speakers – ALL in Excellent/Good range one receiving satisfactory primarily due to language</u>  <u>Expectations met – ALL said yes</u>  <u>Strengths – Multiple experiences, global perspective, audience participation</u></p>	

	<p><u>and interaction, challenges</u>  <u>Weakness – Language, valuable for other libraries not just academic, speaking too fast</u>  <u>Comments – MORE</u>  <u>Suggested future topics – DRM, RFP's, Open Access, Virtual Reference</u></p> <p><b><u>Hot Topics – 200 attendees</u></b></p> <p>Academic – 70      Gov't – 3      Special – 3      Other – 9      Excellent – 39      Good – 39      Satisfactory – 10      Fair – 1      Expectations met      Yes – 48      No – 4</p> <p><u>Strengths – different experiences, points of view, expertise, global, many topics, interaction</u>  <u>Weakness – noise, language, need more time, chaotic, too many topics, not focused, need feedback</u>  <u>Comments – summaries from table, give open ended question,</u>  <u>Suggested future topics – fewer, HR, Open Access, Virtual Reference, IR, Copyright, PR, usage statistics, fundraising, collection development, consortia, digitization; library spaces</u></p> <p><b><u>Public Private Partnerships – 350 attendees</u></b></p> <p>Academic – 29      Gov't – 12      Public – 4      Special – 9      Other – 4      Excellent – 12      Good – 26      Satisfactory – 3</p> <p><b><u>Speakers overall</u></b></p> <p>Excellent – 86      Good – 96      Satisfactory – 46      Fair – 18      Poor – 2      Expectations met      Yes – 25      No – 3      Somewhat – 5</p> <p><u>Strengths – applicable to other institutions, expanded role of library, high quality, real world, excellent examples</u>  <u>Weakness – Need more context, bureaucratic language &amp; terminology, complicated lists, too academic, no Africa examples, How does this contribute to management?, more pro/con discussion.</u>  <u>Suggested future topics – MORE PPP, library spaces, virtual reference, digitization, developing countries perspective, relationship management, measuring outcomes</u></p> <p><b><u>Literacy – 150 attendees</u></b>  <u>Evaluation was conducted by the other partner for this program. ARL have yet to see the feedback.</u></p> <p><b><u>12.2</u></b> <u>Trine, representing the Management and Marketing Section formally requested the sharing of a program for Milan on the future of libraries.</u></p> <p><b><u>12.3</u></b> <u>Articles for the ARL Section  Newsletter:</u>  <u>In addition to the perceptions from the essay contest winners, Nancy Grim will provide some of her reflections. Kathleen, Agneta and Toby will also prepare something for the newsletter.</u></p> <p><b><u>12.4</u></b> <u>IFLA Journal – recommandations for best paper:</u>  <u>Recommended and approved to request the Information Literacy Research paper be submitted for inclusion <i>Quels enseignements retenir de l'évaluation des compétences documentaires des étudiants qui accèdent à l'enseignement supérieur en Communauté française de Belgique?</i> by BERNARD POCHE (Gembloux Agricultural University, Gembloux, Belgium) and PAUL THIRION (Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium).</u></p> <p><b><u>12.5</u></b> <u>Thanks to Sponsors;</u>  <u>The Section recognized &amp; thanked the sponsors for the Satellite Conference at the University of Laval from Blackwell Books, the British Columbia</u></p>	<p><u>Kathleen Salomon,</u>  <u>Agneta Olsson,</u>  <u>Toby Bainton</u></p> <p><u>Sue McKnight</u></p>
--	--	--

	<u>Electronic Library Network, Nottingham Trent University and especially the University of Laval.</u>	
<b>13 ADDITIONAL BUSINESS</b>		
<b>13.1</b>	<u>Brisbane, Satellite Meeting – proposed to develop a program centered on statistics and data. Further conversation will be conducted via email.</u>	
<b>13.2</b>	<p><u>Brainstorming meeting with Ellen Tise:</u></p> <p><u>Very informative with the theme Access to Knowledge. An A2K group exists which IFLA links to and has Nobel Prize winners and Global involvement. Group has an agenda with which IFLA should become more involved.</u></p> <p><u>Added to the discussion were issues related to copyright. Also imagining role for libraries with the facilitation for intellectual capital, promote dialog, thought, learning and interaction. Objective is to train and encourage library staff to think outside stereotypes; encourage a culture of inclusion and respect for differences; working in partnership and asking member libraries to work together. IFLA is seen as too silo'ed and does not communicate well to rest of world about what it is doing. – IFLA could usefully establish partnerships with a similar international organization promoting mutual aims such as Rotary International. Many ideas and suggestions for Ellen to work with and opportunities and scope exist for much wider collaboration outside the usual library partnerships.</u></p>	
<b>13.3</b>	<p><u>Officer's briefing:</u></p> <p><u>There will be a co-ordinating board meeting at the start of the Milan conference. Also discussed the possibility of making the Information Coordinator an elected officer of the Section Committee. ARL SC supports this suggestion. The emergence of the new social networking tools on the IFLA web page will be broader in scope and could be beneficial in improving member communications. These developments are due to be launched in January 2009</u></p>	
<b>13.4</b>	<p><u>Elections for 2009:</u></p> <p><u>Sue McKnight's term will expire during the Milan Conference. Nominations will be requested in advance of the meeting. All eligible members to consider standing for office.</u></p>	<u>ALL</u>
<b>13.5</b>	<p><u>Proposed social event for the section members in Milan:</u></p> <p><u>The SC agreed an evening dinner on the day before the last SC meeting was a good idea.</u></p> <p><u>Noted that this meal may need to be self funded if no sponsor can be found.</u></p>	<u>Sue McKnight</u>
<b>14 ADJOURNMENT</b>		
	<u>The Chair thanked all for their attendance and contributions</u>	
	<u>Meeting adjourned at 3:15pm</u>	